4/13/69

Dear Lou,

This may be quite important, so I write when I can, before daylight, on the basis of incomplete information. I made three telephone attempts yesterday to get more information, but the party to whom I must speak was not available.

I have in my possession, coming to me indirectly from Dallas, these documents from your files:

Sep 1 67 franked envelope, P.O. Box 100, Leavenworth, Kansas, addressed to Bill Martin;

3.23.67 typed, 3-page letter signed with the alias Don Morgan (which several of us believe is an alias of Dave Yoman);, about Nagell, with "copies to file, Ramparts and Mark Lane"(underlined as Jim is wont to do);

Handwritten, 1-page letter signed by Nagell, to Martin, dated April 13,1967. with several added handwritten notations;

Similar envelope address to Martin by Nagell, dated April 17, 1967 at Springfield, Mo., by cancellation, with added notations (2);

Retyped letter headed "Letter from Richard Case Nagell to Senator Richard Russell January 3, 1967...(did not xerox well)", with added notations (these notations may be in Martin's handwriting);

Two-page memo from Martin on Nagell case, top missing, was on legal paper, this copy letter-sized Xerox, recounting his 4/13/67 conversation with Dr. Cicone, Director of the Medical Center for Federal Prisoners;

Ditto, single page of three short paragraphs, beginning, "on the morning of April 25, 1967, I had a rather longthy meeting with a clinical psychiatrist in this city" for help in composing a letter to Nagell:

I am aware of the possibility that subsequent to the trip to Dallas I made with your knowledge you may have assented to this being given to someone there. I am also aware that Boxley had been in contact with the person I went to see before I was there (as well as after, if you didn't know that) and he may have been authorized to give copies of this file to someone. It is my impression that this is far from your complete Nagell file. I have a continuing good relationship with this man in Dallas. I have also introduced a friend to him and they get along very well. Everything may be in order, but because of the possibility this is far from what was delivered and that it might not have been authorized, I tell you about it. I have every confidence the person from whom this came would not use this file against your interest. I will continue my efforts to learn more. If there is anything special you'd like me to do, let me know.

A second matter is important to me and Gary Schoener. About the time of one of my trips to New Orleans he mailed me an only copy of work that had been done comparing Thornley's testimony with his printed version. There were extensive changes in it. Neither he nor I can find this. It may be important to you also

that we complete this work. Therefore, would you please have the Thornley and any other appropriate files checked to see if inadvertently it was opened as office mail and place in the file? There were about 20 typed pages. It was a rough draft. It was not by Schoener but was done for him, at his request, by another person.

Referring to the Negell file again, I do not copy this and send it to you because, as you know, I am not in a position to use my better copier and the quality of what I have precludes the possibility I could make meaningful copies on the old Thermofax, which is working. Indications are that it is one of a series of generations of Xeroxes.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg